Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation for Drugs and Biologics

Guidance for Industry

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

> July 2015 Pharmaceutical Quality/CMC

Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation for Drugs and Biologics Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from: Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor Silver Spring, MD 20993 Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353 *Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov* http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm and/or Office of Communication, Outreach and Development Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, Room 3128 Silver Spring, MD 20993 Phone: 800-835-4709 or 240-402-7800 Email: ocod@fda.hhs.gov http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm

> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

> > July 2015 Pharmaceutical Quality/CMC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION1	
II.	BACKGROUND	
III.	ANALYTICAL METHODS DEVELOPMENT	
IV.	CONTENT OF ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES 4	
А.	Principle/Scope	
В.	Apparatus/Equipment4	
C.	Operating Parameters	
D.	Reagents/Standards	
Е.	Sample Preparation	
F.	Standards Control Solution Preparation	
G.	Procedure	
Н.	System Suitability	
I.	Calculations	
J.	Data Reporting	
V.	REFERENCE STANDARDS AND MATERIALS 6	
VI.	ANALYTICAL METHOD VALIDATION	
А.	Noncompendial Analytical Procedures7	
В.	Validation Characteristics7	
C.	Compendial Analytical Procedures	
VII.	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND MODELS	
А.	Statistics	
В.	Models9	
VIII. LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT OF ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES		
А.	Revalidation10	
В.	Analytical Method Comparability Studies10	
	Alternative Analytical Procedures10Analytical Methods Transfer Studies12	
C.	Reporting Postmarketing Changes to an Approved NDA, ANDA, or BLA12	
IX.	FDA METHODS VERIFICATION12	
X.	REFERENCES	

Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation for Drugs and Biologics Guidance for Industry¹

This guidance represents the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not create any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

I. INTRODUCTION

14 15

1

2

3 4 5

6 7

8

9

16 This guidance supersedes the draft of the same name that published on February 19, 2014 (79 FR 9467) and replaces the 2000 draft guidance for industry on Analytical Procedures and Methods 17 Validation^{2,3} and the 1987 Guidelines for Submitting Samples and Analytical Data for Methods 18 Validation. It provides recommendations on how you, the applicant, can submit analytical 19 procedures⁴ and methods validation⁵ data to support the documentation of the identity, strength, 20 quality, purity, and potency of drug substances and drug products.⁶ It will help you assemble 21 information and present data to support your analytical methodologies. The recommendations 22 apply to drug substances and drug products covered in new drug applications (NDAs), 23 abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs), biologics license applications (BLAs), and 24 supplements to these applications. The principles in this guidance also apply to drug substances 25 and drug products covered in Type II drug master files (DMFs). 26 27 This guidance complements the International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) guidance 28 Q2(R1) Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology (Q2(R1)) for developing and 29 validating analytical methods. 30

31

32 This guidance does not address investigational new drug application (IND) methods validation,

but sponsors preparing INDs should consider the recommendations in this guidance. For INDs,

³⁴ sufficient information is required at each phase of an investigation to ensure proper identity,

quality, purity, strength, and/or potency. The amount of information on analytical procedures

and methods suitability will vary with the phase of the investigation.⁷ For general guidance on

http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm.

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Office of Pharmaceutical Quality in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) and the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) at the Food and Drug Administration.

² Sample submission is described in section IX, FDA Methods Verification.

³ We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA Drugs guidance Web page at

⁴ Analytical procedure is interchangeable with a method or test procedure.

⁵ Compendial methods are verified rather than validated as described in section VI, C.

⁶ The terms *drug substance* and *drug product* are used in this guidance to refer to both human drugs and biologics.

⁷ See 21 CFR 312.23(a)(7).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

analytical procedures and methods validation information to be submitted for phase one studies, 37 38 sponsors should refer to the FDA guidance for industry on Content and Format of Investigational New Drug Applications (INDs) for Phase 1 Studies of Drugs, Including 39 40 Well-Characterized, Therapeutic, Biotechnology-Derived Products. General considerations for analytical procedures and methods validation before conduct of phase two and three studies are 41 discussed in the FDA guidances for industry on INDs for Phase 2 and 3 Studies of Drugs, 42 Including Specified Therapeutic Biotechnology-Derived Products (February 1999) and IND 43 44 Meetings for Human Drugs and Biologics, Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Information. 45 46 This guidance does not address specific method validation recommendations for biological and 47 immunochemical assays for characterization and quality control of many drug substances and 48 49 drug products. For example, some bioassays are based on animal challenge models, and immunogenicity assessments or other immunoassays have unique features that should be 50 considered during development and validation. 51 52 Analytical methods required during product and process development activities are discussed in FDA 53 54 guidance for industry on Process Validation: General Principles and Practices. 55 56 In addition, a risk-based approach on the need for revalidation of existing analytical methods may need to be considered when the manufacturing process changes during the product's life 57 58 cycle. For questions on appropriate validation approaches for analytical procedures or submission of information not addressed in this guidance, you should consult with the 59 appropriate FDA quality assessment staff. 60 61 62 If you choose a different approach than those recommended in this guidance, we encourage you to discuss the matter with the appropriate FDA quality assessment staff before you submit your 63 64 application. 65 In general, FDA's guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. 66 Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only 67 as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of 68 the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but 69 70 not required. 71 72

II. 73 BACKGROUND

74

Each NDA and ANDA must include the analytical procedures necessary to ensure the identity, 75 strength, quality, purity, and potency of the drug substance and drug product.⁸ Each BLA must 76 include a full description of the manufacturing process, including analytical procedures that 77 demonstrate the manufactured product meets prescribed standards of identity, quality, safety, 78 purity, and potency.⁹ Data must be available to establish that the analytical procedures used in 79

 ⁸ See 21 CFR 314.50(d)(1) and 314.94(a)(9)(i).
 ⁹ See 21 CFR 601.2(a) and 601.2(c).

testing meet proper standards of accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and reproducibility and are suitable for their intended numbers 10^{10}

- suitable for their intended purpose.¹⁰
- 82

83 Analytical procedures verification or validation data should be submitted in the corresponding

- sections of the application in the ICH M2 eCTD: Electronic Common Technical Document
 Specification.¹¹
- 85 86

87 When an analytical procedure is approved/licensed as part of the NDA, ANDA, or BLA, it

becomes the FDA-approved analytical procedure for the approved product. This analytical

89 procedure may originate from FDA recognized sources (e.g., a compendial procedure from the 90 United States Pharmacopeia/National Formulary (USP/NF)) or a validated procedure you

submitted that was determined to be acceptable by FDA. To apply an analytical method to a
 different drug product, appropriate validation or verification studies for compendial procedures
 with the matrix of the new product should be considered.

95 94

97

9596 III. ANALYTICAL METHODS DEVELOPMENT

An analytical procedure is developed to test a defined characteristic of the drug substance or

99 drug product against established acceptance criteria for that characteristic. Early in the

100 development of a new analytical procedure, the choice of analytical instrumentation and

101 methodology should be selected based on the intended purpose and scope of the analytical

102 method. Parameters that may be evaluated during method development are specificity, linearity,

limits of detection (LOD) and limits of quantitation (LOQ), range, accuracy, and precision.

105 During early stages of method development, the robustness of methods should be evaluated

because this characteristic can help you decide which method you will submit for approval.

107 Analytical procedures in the early stages of development are initially developed based on a

108 combination of mechanistic understanding of the basic methodology and prior experience.

Experimental data from early procedures can be used to guide further development. You should submit development data within the method validation section if they support the validation of

- submit devethe method.
- 112

To fully understand the effect of changes in method parameters on an analytical procedure, you 113 114 should adopt a systematic approach for a method robustness study (e.g., a design of experiments with method parameters). You should begin with an initial risk assessment and follow with 115 116 multivariate experiments. Such approaches allow you to understand factorial parameter effects 117 on method performance. Evaluation of a method's performance may include analyses of samples obtained from various stages of the manufacturing process from in-process to the 118 finished product. Knowledge gained during these studies on the sources of method variation can 119 120 help you assess the method performance. 121

¹⁰ See 21 CFR 211.165(e) and 211.194(a)(2).

¹¹ Sections as applicable in Module 3: 3.2.S and 3.2.P.

123 IV. CONTENT OF ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

124

125 You should describe analytical procedures in sufficient detail to allow a competent analyst to

reproduce the necessary conditions and obtain results within the proposed acceptance criteria.

127 You should also describe aspects of the analytical procedures that require special attention. An

analytical procedure may be referenced from FDA-recognized sources (e.g., USP/NF,

129 Association of Analytical Communities (AOAC) International)¹² if the referenced analytical

- 130 procedure is not modified beyond what is allowed in the published method. You should provide
- in detail procedures from other published sources. The following is a list of essentialinformation you should include for an analytical procedure:
- 132 133 134

135

139

140 141

A. Principle/Scope

A description of the basic principles of the analytical test/technology (i.e., separation, detection); target analyte(s) and sample(s) type (e.g., drug substance, drug product, impurities or compounds in biological fluids).

B. Apparatus/Equipment

All required qualified equipment and components (e.g., instrument type, detector, column type,
 dimensions, and alternative column, filter type).

144 145

152

C. Operating Parameters

Qualified optimal settings and ranges (include allowed adjustments supported by compendial
sources or development and/or validation studies) critical to the analysis (e.g., flow rate,
components temperatures, run time, detector settings, gradient, head space sampler). A drawing
with experimental configuration and integration parameters may be used, as applicable.

- D. Reagents/Standards
- 153154 The following should be listed where applicable:

155	
156	Description of reagent or standard
157	• Grade of chemical (e.g., USP/NF, American Chemical Society, High
158	Performance or Pressure Liquid Chromatography, or Gas
159	Chromatography and preservative-free)
160	• Source (e.g., USP reference standard, qualified in-house reference material,
161	WHO International Standard/Reference Material, CBER standard)
162	• Purity (for pure chemicals only), State (e.g., dried, undried), and concentration
163	• Potencies (where required by CFR, USP)
164	Storage conditions
165	• Directions for safe use (as per current Safety Data Sheet)
166	• Validated or documented shelf life

¹² See 21 CFR 211.194(a)(2).

New batches of biological reagents, such as monoclonal antibodies, polyclonal antisera, or cells, 168 may need extensive qualification procedures included as part of the analytical procedure. 169 170 Е.

171 172

167

Sample Preparation

Procedures (e.g., extraction method, dilution or concentration, desalting procedures and mixing 173 by sonication, shaking or sonication time) for the preparations for individual sample tests. A 174 single preparation for qualitative and replicate preparations for quantitative tests with appropriate 175 units of concentrations for working solutions (e.g., µg/ml or mg/ml) and information on stability 176 of solutions and storage conditions. 177

178 179

180

184

185

F. **Standards Control Solution Preparation**

Procedures for the preparation and use of all standard and control solutions with appropriate 181 units of concentration and information on stability of standards and storage conditions, 182 including calibration standards, internal standards, system suitability standards, etc. 183

> G. **Procedure**

186 A step-by-step description of the method (e.g., equilibration times, and scan/injection sequence 187 with blanks, placeboes, samples, controls, sensitivity solution (for impurity method) and 188 standards to maintain validity of the system suitability during the span of analysis) and allowable 189 operating ranges and adjustments if applicable. 190

191 192

H. **System Suitability**

193

Confirmatory test(s) procedures and parameters to ensure that the system (equipment, 194 electronics, and analytical operations and controls to be analyzed) will function correctly as an 195 integrated system at the time of use. The system suitability acceptance criteria applied to 196 standards controls and samples, such as peak tailing, precision and resolution acceptance criteria, 197 may be required as applicable. For system suitability of chromatographic systems, refer to the 198 FDA guidance for industry on Validation of Chromatographic Methods and USP General 199 Chapter <621> Chromatography. 200

201 202

I. Calculations

203 The integration method and representative calculation formulas for data analysis (standards, 204 controls, samples) for tests based on label claim and specification (e.g., assay, specified and 205 unspecified impurities and relative response factors). This includes a description of any 206 mathematical transformations or formulas used in data analysis, along with a scientific 207 justification for any correction factors used. 208

J. **Data Reporting** 210

211

A presentation of numeric data that is consistent with instrumental capabilities and acceptance 212 213 criteria. The method should indicate what format to use to report results (e.g., percentage label claim, weight/weight, and weight/volume) with the specific number of significant figures 214 needed. The American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) E29 standard describes a 215 standard practice for using significant digits in test data to determine conformance with 216 specifications. For chromatographic methods, you should include retention times (RTs) for 217 identification with reference standard comparison basis, relative retention times (RRTs) (known 218 and unknown impurities) acceptable ranges and sample results reporting criteria. 219 220

221 222

V. **REFERENCE STANDARDS AND MATERIALS**

223 Primary and secondary reference standards and materials are defined and discussed in the 224 following ICH guidances: Q6B Specifications: Test Procedures and Acceptance Criteria for 225 Biotechnological/Biological Products, and Q7 Good Manufacturing Practice Guidance for 226 Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients. For all standards, you should ensure the suitability for use. 227 You should strictly follow storage and usage conditions and handling instructions for reference 228 standards to avoid modifications and contaminations, which could result in additional impurities 229 and inaccurate analysis. You should include information supporting any reference standards and 230 materials that you intend to use in the application. Information supporting reference standards 231 and materials should include qualification test reports and certificates of analysis (including 232 stability protocols, reports, and relevant known impurity profile information) as applicable. For 233 biological products under BLAs, qualification of subsequent reference standard lots should be 234 235 included in annual reports.

236

Reference standards can often be obtained from USP and may also be available through the 237 European Pharmacopoeia, Japanese Pharmacopoeia, World Health Organization, or National 238 Institute of Standards and Technology. Reference standards for a number of biological products 239 are also available from CBER. For certain biological products marketed in the U.S., reference 240 standards authorized by CBER must be used before the product can be released to the market.¹³ 241 Reference materials from other sources should be characterized by procedures including routine 242 and beyond routine release testing as described in ICH O6B. You should consider orthogonal 243 methods for reference material characterization. Additional testing could include attributes to 244 determine the suitability of the reference material not necessarily captured by the drug substance 245 or product release tests (e.g., more extensive structural identity and orthogonal techniques for 246 potency, purity and impurities). 247

248

A new batch of reference standard material (official or in-house) should be qualified/calibrated 249 against the current reference standard. For biological reference standards and materials, we 250 recommend that you follow a two-tiered approach when qualifying new reference standards to 251

prevent drift in the quality attributes. A two-tiered approach involves a comparison of each new 252

¹³ See 21 CFR 610.20.

reference standard with a primary reference standard so that it is linked to clinical trial materialand the current manufacturing process.

- 255
- 256 257

258

259 260

VI. ANALYTICAL METHOD VALIDATION

A. Noncompendial Analytical Procedures

Analytical method validation is the process of demonstrating that an analytical procedure is 261 suitable for its intended purpose. The methodology and objective of the analytical procedures 262 should be clearly defined and understood before initiating validation studies. This understanding 263 is obtained from scientifically-based method development and optimization studies. Validation 264 data must be generated under a protocol approved by the sponsor following current good 265 manufacturing practices with the description of methodology of each validation characteristic 266 and predetermined and justified acceptance criteria, using qualified instrumentation.¹⁴ Protocols 267 for both drug substance and product analytes or mixture of analytes in respective matrices should 268 be developed and executed. You should include details of the validation studies and results with 269 your application. 270

271 272 273

B. Validation Characteristics

Although not all of the validation characteristics are applicable for all types of tests, typical validation characteristics are:

276 • Specificity 277 • Linearity 278 Accuracy 279 • • Precision (repeatability, intermediate precision, and reproducibility) 280 Range • 281 282 • Quantitation limit **Detection limit** 283 • 284 ICH Q2(R1) is considered the primary reference for recommendations and definitions on 285 validation characteristics for analytical procedures. The FDA guidance for industry on 286 Validation of Chromatographic Methods is available as well. 287 288

If a procedure is a validated quantitative analytical procedure that can detect changes in a quality attribute(s) of the drug substance and drug product during storage, it is considered a stabilityindicating test. To demonstrate specificity of a stability-indicating test, a combination of challenges should be performed. Some challenges include the use of samples spiked with target analytes and all known interferences; samples that have undergone various laboratory stress conditions; and actual product samples (produced by the final manufacturing process) that are either aged or have been stored under accelerated temperature and humidity conditions.

¹⁴ For drugs see 21 CFR 211.165(e); 21 CFR 314.50 (d), and for biologics see 21 CFR 601.2(a), 601.2(c), and 601.12(a).

As the holder of the NDA, ANDA, or BLA, you must: (1) submit the data used to establish that

the analytical procedures used in testing meet proper standards of accuracy and reliability, and

(2) notify the FDA about each change in each condition established in an approved application
 beyond the variations already provided for in the application, including changes to analytical

beyond the variations already provided for in the application, including ch
 procedures and other established controls.¹⁵

procedures and other established co

The submitted data should include the results from the robustness evaluation of the method, which is typically conducted during method development or as part of a planned validation study.¹⁶

305 306 307

C. Compendial Analytical Procedures

The suitability of an analytical procedure (e.g., USP/NF, the Official Methods of Analysis of
 AOAC International, or other recognized standard references) should be verified under actual

311 conditions of use.¹⁷ Information to demonstrate that USP/NF analytical procedures are suitable

for the drug product or drug substance should be included in the submission and generated under

- 313 a verification protocol.
- 314

The verification protocol should include, but is not limited to: (1) compendial methodology to

be verified with predetermined acceptance criteria, and (2) details of the methodology (e.g.,

suitability of reagent(s), equipment, component(s), chromatographic conditions, column, detector
 type(s), sensitivity of detector signal response, system suitability, sample preparation and

stability). The procedure and extent of verification should dictate which validation characteristic

tests should be included in the protocol (e.g., specificity, LOD, LOQ, precision, accuracy).

321 Considerations that may influence what characteristic tests should be in the protocol may depend

322 on situations such as whether specification limits are set tighter than compendial acceptance

criteria, or RT or RRT profiles are changing in chromatographic methods because of the synthetic route of drug substance or differences in manufacturing process or matrix of drug

synthetic route of drug substance or differences in manufacturing process or matrix of drug
 product. Robustness studies of compendial assays do not need to be included, if methods are

326 followed without deviations.

- 327
- 328

329 VII. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND MODELS

330 331

A. Statistics

332

Statistical analysis of validation data can be used to evaluate validation characteristics against
predetermined acceptance criteria. All statistical procedures and parameters used in the analysis
of the data should be based on sound principles and appropriate for the intended evaluation.
Several statistical methods are useful for assessing validation characteristics, for example, an

analysis of variance (ANOVA) to assess regression analysis R (correlation coefficient) and R

¹⁵ For drugs see 21 CFR 314.50 (d), 314.70(d), and for biologics see 21 CFR 601.2(a), 601.2(c), and 601.12(a). For a BLA, as discussed, you must obtain prior approval from FDA before implementing a change in analytical methods if these methods are specified in FDA regulations.

¹⁶ See section III and ICH Q2(R1).

¹⁷ See 21 CFR 211.194(a)(2) and USP General Chapter <1226> Verification of Compendial Procedures.

squared (coefficient of determination) or linear regression to measure linearity. Many statistical 338

339 methods used for assessing validation characteristics rely on population normality, and it is

important to determine whether or not to reject this assumption. There are many techniques, 340 341 such as histograms, normality tests, and probability plots that can be used to evaluate the

observed distribution. It may be appropriate to transform the data to better fit the normal 342

distribution or apply distribution-free (nonparametric) approaches when the observed data are 343 not normally distributed. Appropriate literature or text should be consulted for information on 344 statistical procedures to use when developing new test methods, evaluating existing test methods 345 or evaluating measurement system performance, as well as other general information on the 346 interpretation and treatment of analytical data.¹⁸ The data analysis should be assured either by

347 using appropriately validated software or independent verification for correctness. 348

349 350

351

В. Models

Some analytical methods might use chemometric and/or multivariate models. When developing 352 these models, the number of samples to provide adequate statistical power and range for model 353 development and validation should be considered. Suitable software should be used for data 354 analysis. Model parameters should be deliberately varied to test model robustness. 355

356 357

VIII. LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT OF ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES 358

359

Once an analytical procedure (including compendial methods) is successfully validated (or 360 verified) and implemented, the procedure should be followed during the life cycle of the product 361 to continually assure that it remains fit for its intended purpose. Trend analysis on method 362 performance should be performed at regular intervals to evaluate the need to optimize the 363 analytical procedure or to revalidate all or a part of the analytical procedure. If an analytical 364 procedure can only meet the established system suitability requirements with repeated 365 adjustments to the operating conditions stated in the analytical procedure, the analytical 366 procedure should be reevaluated, revalidated, or amended, as appropriate. 367 368

Over the life cycle of a product, new information and risk assessments (e.g., a better 369

understanding of product CQAs or awareness of a new impurity) may warrant the development 370

and validation of a new or alternative analytical method. New technologies may allow for 371

greater understanding and/or confidence when ensuring product quality. Applicants should 372

periodically evaluate the appropriateness of a product's analytical methods and consider new or 373 alternative methods. 374

375

In anticipation of life cycle changes in analytics, an appropriate number of retention samples 376

- should be maintained to allow for comparative studies. The number should be based on 377
- scientific principles and an assessment of risk. For complex products that are sensitive to 378
- manufacturing changes, reserve samples can be an important tool to make these comparisons. 379

¹⁸ See References section for examples including USP <1010> Analytical Data – Interpretation and Treatment, ASTM E1488 Standard Guide for Statistical Procedures to Use in Developing and Applying Test Methods and ASTM E2782 Standard Guide for Measurement Systems Analysis.

The retention samples used in comparative studies should include samples that represent 380 marketed product and, when possible, pivotal clinical trial material. 381

382

383 If a risk-based evaluation or other drivers lead to changes in an analytical procedure or

replacement with a new method or if the procedure is transferred to a new testing site; 384

revalidation, a new validation exercise, an analytical method comparability study, or a 385

combination of these exercises should be considered. In some cases, changes to the drug 386 substance or drug product manufacturing process may also warrant analytical procedure 387

revalidation. These additional studies are discussed below. 388

389 390

A. **Revalidation**

391 Principles described in the validation section (section VI) apply to revalidation. When a change 392 is made to an analytical procedure (e.g., a change in a piece of equipment or reagent or because 393 of a change in manufacturing process or formulation), revalidation of all or part of the analytical 394 procedure should be considered. Analytical method revalidation may also be warranted because 395 of manufacturing process changes, such as an alteration in the drug substance manufacturing 396 process that could impact method performance (e.g., route of synthesis, fermentation) or 397 introduction of a new drug product formulation. 398

399

You should revalidate to ensure that the analytical procedure maintains its critical performance 400 characteristics (e.g., specificity, precision, accuracy). The degree of revalidation depends on the 401 402 nature of the change.

403 404

405

B. **Analytical Method Comparability Studies**

Analytical method comparability study requests are typically generated when you propose to 406 substitute an FDA-approved analytical procedure with an alternative analytical procedure or 407 when an analytical method is transferred from one laboratory to the other. For information on 408 statistical procedures to use for determining equivalence of two test methods, appropriate 409 literature or text should be consulted.¹⁹ These scenarios are discussed below. 410

411 412

1. Alternative Analytical Procedures

413 An alternative analytical procedure is an analytical procedure that you use in place of the FDA-414 approved analytical procedure. For an NDA or ANDA, you should include any proposed 415 alternate analytical procedures in the application. You must include a description of the 416 procedure.²⁰ After approval, for an NDA or ANDA, or for a procedure approved in a BLA but 417 not included in an FDA regulation, the addition, revision, or deletion of an alternative analytical 418

procedure that provides the same or increased assurance of the identity, strength, quality, purity, 419

¹⁹ See References section for examples including USP General Chapter <1010> Analytical Data – Interpretation and Treatment and ASTM E2935 Standard Practice for Conducting Equivalence Testing in Laboratory Applications.

See 21 CFR 314.50.

420	or potency of the material being tested as the analytical procedure described in the approved
421	application, must be documented in the next annual report. ²¹
422	
423	For biological products, in rare cases an analytical procedure may be included in an FDA
424	regulation. If the analytical method required is described by a regulation, however, and you want
425	to use an alternate method, you must submit the alternate method for review and approval
426	according to 21 CFR 610.9(a). You must present evidence "demonstrating that the
427	modification will provide assurances of the safety, purity, potency, and effectiveness of the
428	biological product equal to or greater than the assurances provided by the method or process
429	specified in the general standards or additional standards for the biological product."
430	Modification of such procedures requires FDA approval during application review or in a
431	postapproval supplement. ²²
432	
433	You should identify the use of the alternative analytical procedure (e.g., release, stability testing)
434	and provide a rationale for its inclusion, validation data, and comparative data to the FDA-
435	approved analytical procedure. You should perform an analytical method comparability study
436	that demonstrates at a minimum that:
437	
438	• The new method coupled with any additional control measures is equivalent or
439	superior to the original method for the intended purpose.
440	
441	• The new analytical procedure is not more susceptible to matrix effects than the
442	original procedure.
443	
444	If new process-related or product-related variants or any new impurities are discovered with the
445	new procedure, testing on retention samples from historical batches should be performed to
446	demonstrate that the variants/impurities detected by the new method are a result of an increase in
447	the sensitivity or selectivity of the new procedure and not a result of a change to process-related
448	impurities.
449	
450	If the procedure has stability-indicating properties:
451	
452	• Appropriate samples should be included that allow a comparison of the ability of
453	the new and original method to detect relevant product variants and degradation
454	species.
455	• The number of batches analyzed for comparison should provide sufficient
456	statistical power.
457	• Equivalence, non-inferiority, or superiority studies should be performed with
458	appropriate statistical methods to demonstrate that the new or revised methods
459	performance is comparable or better than the original method. ²³
460	• The statistical analyses performed to compare product testing should be
461	identified.

²¹ See 21 CFR 314.70(d)(1), (d)(2)(vii). 314.81(b)(2), and 601.12(d)(vii).
²² See 21 CFR 610.9(b).
²³ ASTM E2935 – Standard Practice for Conducting Equivalence Testing in Laboratory Applications.

- All bias or differences between analytical procedures seen with comparative 462 • results should be discussed with an explanation, as appropriate. 463
- 464
- 465 466

2. Analytical Methods Transfer Studies

Analytical method transfer is typically managed under a transfer protocol that details the 467 parameters to be evaluated in addition to the predetermined acceptance criteria that will be 468 applied to the results. Transfer studies usually involve two or more laboratories or sites 469 (originating lab and receiving labs) executing the preapproved transfer protocol. A sufficient 470 number of representative test articles (e.g., same lot(s) of drug substance or drug product) are 471 used by the originating and receiving laboratories. The comparative studies are performed to 472 evaluate accuracy and precision, especially with regard to assessment of interlaboratory 473 variability. In cases where the transferred analytical procedure is also a stability-indicating 474 475 method, forced degradation samples or samples containing pertinent product-related impurities should be analyzed at both sites. The USP General Chapter <1224> Transfer of Analytical 476 *Procedures* provides additional guidance on this topic. 477

- 478
- 479 480

C. **Reporting Postmarketing Changes to an Approved NDA, ANDA, or BLA**

Postmarketing changes to analytical procedures must be reported to the FDA in compliance with 481 21 CFR 314.70 or 21 CFR 601.12.²⁴ Additional information on the appropriate reporting 482 category for various kinds of postapproval changes for NDAs and ANDAs is provided in the 483 FDA guidance for industry on Changes to an Approved NDA or ANDA and Changes to an 484 Approved NDA or ANDA; Specifications – Use of Enforcement Discretion for Compendial 485 *Changes.* Similar information on postapproval changes to BLAs regulated by CDER and CBER 486 is provided in the FDA guidance Changes to an Approved Application for Specified 487 488 Biotechnology and Specified Synthetic Biological Products. 489

490

491 IX. **FDA METHODS VERIFICATION**

492

Part of the approval process for NDAs and ANDAs may include FDA laboratory assessment to 493 494 determine whether the analytical procedures are acceptable for quality control and suitable for regulatory purposes.²⁵ If a laboratory assessment will be conducted, the FDA laboratory will 495 send you a request that will detail what samples and supplies to send to the FDA laboratory. 496 497 These could include product samples, standards, critical reagents, material safety data sheets, and 498 supplies. Laboratory results and comments will be forwarded from the FDA laboratory to the product quality reviewer. 499

- 500
- For certain biological products, samples representative of the product for licensure along with 501 summaries of results of tests performed on the lots represented by these samples should be 502 submitted with the BLA.²⁶ The FDA laboratory verifies the performance of the methods and the 503

²⁴ As noted, for a product licensed under a BLA, if the change is to a procedure prescribed in FDA regulations that change must be approved by FDA pursuant to 21 CFR 610.9(b). ²⁵ See 21 CFR 314.50(e).

²⁶ See 21 CFR 601.2(a).

504 505 506	results you submit. During a pre-BLA meeting or after submission of the BLA, the FDA laboratory can send you a request to provide standards, controls, reagents, material safety data sheets, and supplies.
507	
508	X. REFERENCES
509	a a a a 27
510	Guidance for Industry ²⁷
511	
512	ANDAs: Impurities in Drug Products (November 2010)
513 514	ANDAs: Impurities in Drug Substances (July 2009)
514	ANDAS. Impurities in Drug Substances (July 2009)
516	Changes to an Approved NDA or ANDA (April 2004)
517	
518	Changes to an Approved Application for Specified Biotechnology and Specified Synthetic
519	Biological Products (July 1997)
520	
521	Changes to an Approved NDA or ANDA; Specifications – Use of Enforcement Discretion for
522	Compendial Changes (November 2004)
523	
524	Content and Format of Investigational New Drug Applications (INDs) for Phase 1 Studies of
525	Drugs, Including Well-Characterized, Therapeutic, Biotechnology-derived Products (November
526	1995)
527	
528	IND Meetings for Human Drugs and Biologics, Chemistry Manufacturing and Controls
529 530	Information (May 2001)
531	INDs for Phase 2 and 3 Studies of Drugs, Including Specified Therapeutic Biotechnology-
532	Derived Products (February 1999)
533	
534	Investigating Out of Specification (OOS) Test Results for Pharmaceutical Production (October
535	2006)
536	
537	Process Validation: General Principles and Practices (January 2011)
538	
539	Reviewer Guidance, Validation of Chromatographic Methods (November 1994)
540	
541	Submission of Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Information for Synthetic Peptide
542	Substances (November 1994)

²⁷ Draft guidances have been included for completeness only. As draft documents, they are not intended to be implemented until published in final form. We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA Drugs guidance Web page at http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm.

544	Guidance for Industry: International Conference on Harmonization
545	
546	Q1A(R2) Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products (November 2003)
547	
548	Q1B Stability Testing: Photostability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products (May
549	1997)
550	
551	Q1C Stability Testing for New Dosage Forms (May 1997)
552	
553	Q2(R1) Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology (March 1995, May 1997)
554	
555	Q3A(R2) Impurities in New Drug Substances (June 2008)
556	
557	Q3B(R2) Impurities in New Drug Products (August 2006)
558	
559	Q3C Impurities: Residual Solvents (December 1997)
560	-
561	Q3C Tables and List (February 2012)
562	
563	Q5C Quality of Biotechnological Products: Stability Testing of Biotechnological/Biological
564	Products (July 1996)
565	
566	Q6A Specifications: Test Procedures and Acceptance Criteria for New Drug Substances and
567	New Drug Products: Chemical Substances (December 2000)
568	
569	Q6B Specifications: Test Procedures and Acceptance Criteria for Biotechnological/Biological
570	Products (August 1999)
571	
572	Q7 Good Manufacturing Practice Guide for Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients
573	(August 2001)
574	
575	United States Pharmacopeia/National Formulary
576	
577	General Chapter <621> Chromatography
578	
579	General Chapter <1010> Analytical Data – Interpretation and Treatment
580	
581	General Chapter <1224> Transfer of Analytical Procedures
582	
583	General Chapter <1225> Validation of Compendial Procedures
584	
585	General Chapter <1226> Verification of Compendial Procedures
586	
587	General Notices and Requirements, Applying to Standards, Tests, Assays, and Other
588	Specifications of the United States Pharmacopeia: 7. Test Results

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Interpretation and Treatment of Analytical Data; USP Pharmacopeial Forum, United States Pharmacopeial Convention, Inc., Rockville MD: 1994, Volume 24, Number 5, pp. 7051 - 7056 Other ASTM Standard, E29 - 2008 Standard Practice for Using Significant Digits in Test Data to Determine Conformance with Specifications, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, (www.astm.org). ASTM E1488 – Standard Guide for Statistical Procedures to use in Developing and Applying Test Methods, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, (www.astm.org). ASTM E2782 – Standard Guide for Measurement Systems Analysis (MSA), ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, (www.astm.org). ASTM Standard, E2935 – 2013 Standard Practice for Conducting Equivalence Testing in Laboratory Applications, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, (www.astm.org). J.N. Miller and Miller, J.C., 2010, Statistics and Chemometrics for Analytical Chemistry, 6th edition, Pearson Education Canada. Saunders, B.D. and R.G. Trapp, 2004, Basic and Clinical Biostatistics, 4th edition, Lange Medical Books/McGraw Hill.